

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003

09/927,829 08/10/2001 Donald H. Michel 068355.0160 6240 7590 01/17/2003 Paul R. Morico Baker Botts L.L.P. One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Street Houston, TX 77002 4005	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Paul R. Morico Baker Botts L.L.P. One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Street EXAMINER DOUGHERTY, JENNIFER R	09/927,829	08/10/2001	Donald H. Michel	068355.0160	6240
Baker Botts L.L.P. One Shell Plaza DOUGHERTY, JENNIFER R 910 Louisiana Street	;	7590 01/17/200			
One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Street DOUGHERTY, JENNIFER R				EXAMINER	
A DOT LO LOS				DOUGHERTY, JENNIFER R	
	910 Louisiana Street Houston, TX 77002-4995			ARTIBUT	DARED MIR (DED
				3672	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		`				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/927,829	MICHEL, DONALD H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INCOME	Jennifer R. Dougherty	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 A</u>	<u>ugust 2001</u> .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl		ved by the Examiner.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority.	y documents have been received	d in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)	priority and 00 0.0.0. 33 120 8	ana/01 121,				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2		PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/927,829

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that 1. form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-4, 6-9, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being 2. anticipated by Dusterhoft et al. (US 2003/0000701 A1).

The gravel packing apparatus of Dusterhoft et al. includes all the limitations of claims 1 and 16 including: a base pipe with apertures (60), a screen (52), a channel (figure 2), a port (84), and the method of claim 16 (figure 2 and claim 25).

With respect to the dependant claims Dusterhoft et al. also teaches: inner and outer screen jackets (62 and 66)-claim 2; inner wire screen (paragraph 30)-claims 3 and 4; ribs (paragraph 30)-claims 6 and 7; end rings (figure 2)-claim 8; multiple sections/screens (figure 1)-claims 9 and 15; ports (figure 2)-claims 12-14; cement casing (34)-claims 17 and 18.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,829

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Dusterhoft et al. (US 2003/0000701 A1) in view of Spray (US 5,785,122).

 As discussed above, Dusterhoft et al. includes all the limitations of claim 5 with the exception of the outer screen jacket being fusion-welded wire. Spray teaches a well screen made out of fusion-welded wire. Spray teaches that this type of screen is preferred because it improves flow by being variably shaped. Thus at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have replaced the outer screen jacket of Dusterhoft et al. with the fusion-welded screen of Spray to improve flow through the screen jacket.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,829

Art Unit: 3672

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Dougherty whose telephone number is (703) 308-6365. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell, can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Crown 3600

jrd

January 2, 2003